

**GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH**  
**ABSTRACT**

Public Servants – Sericulture Department - Allegations of possession of assets disproportionate to the known sources of income against Sri D.R. Gopal Reddy, formerly Assistant Director of Sericulture, Guntur – Disciplinary action initiated – Case entrusted to the Tribunal Disciplinary Proceedings – Tribunal Disciplinary Proceedings conducted Inquiry and submitted the report – Imposing the Punishment of reduction by one rank below the one held by the Charged Officer on the date of filing the case - Orders - Issued.

=====

**AGRICULTURE & COOPERATION (VIG.II) DEPARTMENT**

G.O.Rt.No. 1366

Dated: 10-11-2009

Read the following:-

1. From the DG, ACB, Hyderabad Ir.Rc.No.93/ RCA. VGT/99, dated 23-10-2001.
2. Govt.Memo.No.79/Vig.Ce..II-2/2001-2, dated 15-3-2002.
3. Lr.No.Dis.No.S/11/2008, dated 25-3-2008 of the Secretary, Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings, A.P. Hyderabad along with Inquiry report.
4. Govt. Memo. No. 79/Vig. II-2/2001-3, A&C(Vig.II) Dept., dt.21-4-2008.
5. From Sri D.R. Gopala Reddy, Asst. Director of Sericulture, former ADS, Guntur, Representation, dt.16-3-2009 & 8-4-2009.

--oOo--

**ORDER:**

It was brought to the notice of the Government by the appropriate authority that Sri D.R. Gopala Reddy, formerly Assistant Director of Sericulture, Guntur was involved in the allegations of disproportionate assets. Government after examining the matter, in the reference 2<sup>nd</sup> read above, entrusted the case to Tribunal Disciplinary Proceedings for detailed and regular inquiry.

2. In the reference 3<sup>rd</sup> read above, the II Member, Tribunal Disciplinary Proceedings conducted inquiry and furnished his report. The II Member, Tribunal Disciplinary Proceedings has observed that the property declarations allegedly submitted by the Charged Officer have not been brought on record to show that source of income i.e., rental income, agricultural income, amounts given by the in-laws of the Charged Officer to the wife of the Charged Officer, loans availed by the Charged Officer, etc., have not been reflected in property declarations and stated that there are assets held by the Charged Officer, disproportionate to the known sources of income as put forward by prosecution on record. In the result, prosecution proved the charge.

3. In the reference 4<sup>th</sup> read above, a copy of the Tribunal Disciplinary Proceedings report was communicated to the Charged Officer as required under Rule 6 (2)(b) of the A.P.C.S.(DPT) Rules, 1989, directing him to submit his representation on the findings of the Tribunal Disciplinary Proceedings. In the reference 5<sup>th</sup> read above, Sri D.R. Gopala Reddy, former Assistant Director of Sericulture, Guntur has submitted his representation and stated that the prosecution has built the charge on its own self conclusions without basing on the evidence collected by it with sole intention that all witnesses went against the prosecution and the report has no merit and requested that the charge may be dropped in the interest of justice.

4. Government, after careful examination of the matter, with reference to the report of Tribunal Disciplinary Proceedings and the representation submitted by the Charged Officer on the findings of the Tribunal Disciplinary Proceedings have observed that the investigating officer of Anti Corruption Bureau has admitted before Hon'ble Tribunal Disciplinary Proceedings that though the Charged Officer collected evidence and recorded the statements of witnesses which proves other sources of income of the Charged Officer i.e., rental income of the wife, agricultural income towards his share, loans availed by the Charged Officer and the amounts paid by the in-laws of the Charged Officer to his wife, the same was not taken into consideration by him for the only reason that the Charged officer did not reflect the said sources of income in the annual property declarations submitted by him and if the said sources of income are taken into account, there would not disproportionate assets. The Hon'ble Tribunal Disciplinary Proceedings held the charge as proved on the ground that the Charged Officer has not produced the annual property returns alleged to have submitted by him reflecting the above sources of income before the Hon'ble Tribunal Disciplinary Proceedings and hence Government decided to impose the penalty of "reduction by one rank below the one held by the Charged Officer on the date of filing this case".

(PTO)

--:2:--

5. Accordingly, the Government hereby order to impose the penalty of "reduction by one rank below the one held by the Charged Officer Sri D.R.Gopala Reddy, former Assistant Director of Sericulture, Guntur on the date of filing the case".

6. The Commissioner of Sericulture, A.P. Hyderabad shall take necessary further action accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

**PANKAJ DWIVEDI**  
**A.P.C. & SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

To  
Sri D.R.Gopala Reddy, former Assistant Director of Sericulture, Guntur  
(Through : The Commissioner of Sericulture, A.P., Hyderabad)

Copy to:-  
The Commissioner of Sericulture, A.P., Hyderabad.  
The Director General, Anti Corruption Bureau, Hyderabad.  
The Secretary, A.P.V.C., Hyderabad.  
Sc/Sf.

// FORWARDED :: BY ORDER //

SECTION OFFICER